



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/783,126

02/20/2004

David C. Racenet

2666 CON (203-2709
CON)

1249

7590

05/06/2005

EXAMINER

CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C

Chief Patent Counsel

U.S. Surgical

A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group, LP

150 Glover Avenue

Norwalk, CT 06856

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3721

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,126	RACENET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathaniel C. Chukwurah.

(3) Christopher Trainor.

(2) Rinaldi Rada.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,100,042.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative contended that the prior art element (400) does not provide stiffening to the anvil. However the Examiner maintained that the prior art stiffener plate provide strengthening support to anvil, and it is not distinguished from the claimed stiffener plate.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Rinaldi I. Rada
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3700
 Examiner's signature, if required